

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GENARD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> monorable Mr. J. Lawson Secretary of State Lustin, Texas

Dear Siri

Opinion No. 0-1551
Re: Whether the proposed or ration
of the Yeches Butine Products
Gommany could violate the Texas
epti-trust laws.

Your lotter of April Zind schmitting the above question to us for opinion reads as follows:

Butene Products Company, a Delegar's corporation, for a permit to do business in the State of Toxas, and attached to said application you will find the Articles of Incorporation of said (company, and in order that you might better understand the nature and background for the requested opinion of your Ducartment, I his hand you herewith copy of the Freent Ny-Lana of maid Company, as well as statement as to my understanding of the formation and proposed operation of this corporation in the State of Texas, which is as follows:

"It is he unlicretending that this corporation was formed by Gulf) (ii) Corporation, Atlantic Refining Company, The Pice Vil Company, Bosony-Vacuum Oil Company, Inc., and The Texas dozesty, subscribers to the capital stock, at the institute and request of the Federal Covernment for the purpose of establishing a plant in the State of Texes for the production of butadiane, to be used in the menufacture of snythetic rubber; that the funds for the purchase of the site upon which the plant is to be constructed, as well as the plant itself, will be furnished and owned by the Defense Plant Corporation, a Covornashtai agency, and rented to the Butane Froducts Company at \$1.00 per year, and that the principal part of the products from the plant will be manufactured for end delivered to enother governmental agency known as the Rubber Reserve Corpany, a subsidiary of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, et cost.

"After a consideration of the application, the articles of incorporation and the nature of the construction and operation of the plant, will you please inform me whether, in your opinion, the operation of such concern in the State of Texas would be in violation of the terms of the statute of the State of Texas regulating and controlling trusts and monopoliss."

As indicated in your letter, and from the information given us by the attorneys for the company, it appears that the Reches Butana Products Company has been organized at the instance of the Federal Covernment, as a non-profit corporation which is to supervise and manage the manufacture of synthetic rubber essential for the nation's war effort.

At the request of the Federal Government the stockownership of the Meches Butane Products Company has been apportioned among the five oil companies enumerated in your letter
for two reasons: (1) because it is anticipated that the raw
enterials going into the manufacture of the synthetic rubber
is to be supplied from the oil refineries of these companies
and (2) because these same companies are to furnish from among
their employees the personnal with the necessary chemical,
engineering and other technical skill and training for directing
the proposed undertaking. The entire project is originated by
and shall be operated under the direct supervision and control
of federal agencies in furtherance of essential war work. Under
such circumstances, we are of the opinion that the anti-trust
laws of Texas have no application to the proposed operations
of the Meches Butane Products Company, as outlined.

Our conclusions in this matter are based upon the same reasons as those set out in the letter of U. S. Attorney General Robert H. Jackson, dated April 29, 1941, and the letter of U. S. Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold, dated Jan. 23, 1942, copies of which letters are attached hereto.

We are returning to you herewith the application of the Neches Eutano Troducts Company for a permit to do business in Texas and other documents attached to your letter.

Yours very truly

FIRST ASSISTANT

attorney general of texas

Walter R. Koch

Assistant

ATTORNEY GENERAL

TICI.OBURES

APPROVED OPINION COMMITTEE

BY SINT